



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/623,589 07/22/2003		Yuichiro Nakaya	520.36323CX14	5849		
20457	7590 09/06/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	LI, TERRY, STOUT &	SHERALI, ISHRAT I				
1300 NORT SUITE 1800	H SEVENTEENTH STR)	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	N, VA 22209-3873	2624				
			DATE MAILED: 09/06/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/623,589	0/623,589 NAKAYA, YU		IRO			
			Examiner		Art Unit	T			
			Sherali Ishrat		2621				
The MA Period for Reply	ILING DATE of this commu	nication appe	ears on the co	over sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress			
WHICHEVER - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON - If NO period for re - Failure to reply wi Any reply received	ID STATUTORY PERIOD IN IS LONGER, FROM THE IN IT IS IN IT	MAILING DA is of 37 CFR 1.130 imunication. statutory period wi ly will, by statute, of	ATE OF THIS 6(a). In no event, it ill apply and will ex cause the applicati	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be timpire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)☐ Respons	sive to communication(s) fil	ed on 6/5/20	006.						
2a) ☐ This acti	• •		— action is non-	final.					
3)☐ Since th	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Cla	aims								
4)⊠ Claim(s)	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)∐ Claim(s)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s)	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.								
7)☐ Claim(s)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)∐ Claim(s)	are subject to restri	iction and/or	election requ	irement.					
Application Pape	rs								
9)∐ The spec	ification is objected to by the	ne Examiner							
10)∭ The draw	ring(s) filed on is/are	e: a)∐ acce	epted or b)	objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant	may not request that any obje	ection to the d	lrawing(s) be h	eld in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacen	nent drawing sheet(s) includin	g the correction	on is required i	f the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)∐ The oath	or declaration is objected t	to by the Exa	aminer. Note	the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119								
	edgment is made of a claim	for foreign p	priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
•	a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/093,194. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 								
	plication from the Internation				o in this National	Stage			
•	tached detailed Office action		•	` ''	d				
330				oopida nat roosive	u .				
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of Refere			4)	Interview Summary					
	erson's Patent Drawing Review (losure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or	•	5)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da		O-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail		11 10/36/00)	6)) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)) Other:					

Response to Amendment/Arguments

1. This action is in response to Applicant's amendment/arguments in paper dated 6/5/2006.

Based on the applicant's persuasive arguments, art rejection is withdrawn.

Applicant's argument with respect to rejection under 35 USC is not persuasive.

Claiming a recording medium having recorded thereon information of images is nonstatutory because it is non-functional.

Furthermore there is pending issue of obviousness type double patenting.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/623,589

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 USC 101.

Regarding independent claim 1, claim in lines 1-2 recites "A recording medium having recorded thereon information of images which have been coded by performing motion compensation". Claiming a recording medium having recorded thereon information of images is non-statutory because it is non-functional. Claim 2-4 are dependent on rejected claim 1 therefore they are also rejected.

Regarding independent claim 5, claim in lines 1-2 recites "A recording medium having recorded thereon information of images which have been coded by performing motion compensation". Claiming a recording medium having recorded thereon

Art Unit: 2624

information of images is non-statutory because it is no-functional. Claim 6-8 are dependent on rejected claim 5 therefore they are also rejected.

Regarding independent claim 9, claim in lines recites "A recording medium having a machine-readable program recorded thereon, the program causing the machine upon implementation to record information of images which have been coded by performing motion compensation". A recording medium having a machine-readable program recorded thereon, the program causing the machine is nom-statutory because recording medium can be piece of paper. It should recite A computer readable medium recording having a computer-readable program recorded thereon, the program causing the machine. Furthermore Claiming a recording medium having recorded thereon information of images is non-statutory because it is no-functional. Claims 10-12 are dependent on rejected claim 9 therefore they are also rejected.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to

Art Unit: 2624

be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 5 and 9 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,868,185.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 1, 5 and 9 of the instant application and claim of the U.S.

Patent recites similar limitation of A recording medium having recorded thereon information of images which have been coded by performing motion compensation, wherein the information includes rounding method information specifying a positive rounding method or a negative rounding method for interpolation of intensity values of pixels in performing the motion compensation. The only difference between claims 1, 5 and 9 of the instant application and claim 1 of the U.S Patent is that claims 1, 5 and 9 of the instant application are broader than claim 1 of the U.S Patent.

Communication

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sherali Ishrat whose telephone number is 571-272-7398. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached on 571-272-7778. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,589

Art Unit: 2624

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ishrat Sherali

Patent Examiner

August 28, 2006